Molecular Approaches to the Photocatalytic Reduction of Carbon Dioxide for Solar Fuels
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The scientific community now agrees that the rise in atmospheric CO₂, the most abundant greenhouse gas, comes from anthropogenic sources such as the burning of fossil fuels. This atmospheric rise in CO₂ results in global climate change. Therefore methods for photochemically transforming CO₂ into a source of fuel could offer an attractive way to decrease atmospheric concentrations. One way to accomplish this conversion is through the light-driven reduction of carbon dioxide to methane (CH₄(g)) or methanol (CH₃OH(l)) with electrons and protons derived from water. Existing infrastructure already supports the delivery of natural gas and liquid fuels, which makes these possible CO₂ reduction products particularly appealing.

This Account focuses on molecular approaches to photochemical CO₂ reduction in homogeneous solution. The reduction of CO₂ by one electron to form CO₂⁻ is highly unfavorable, having a formal reduction potential of −2.14 V vs SCE. Rapid reduction requires an overpotential of up to 0.6 V, due at least in part to the kinetic restrictions imposed by the structural difference between linear CO₂ and bent CO₂⁻. An alternative and more favorable pathway is to reduce CO₂ though proton-assisted multiple-electron transfer. The development of catalysts, redox mediators, or both that efficiently drive these reactions remains an important and active area of research.

We divide these reactions into two class types. In Type I photocatalysis, a molecular light absorber and a transition metal catalyst work in concert. We also consider a special case of Type 1 photocatalysis, where a saturated hydrocarbon links the catalyst and the light absorber in a supramolecular compound. In Type II photocatalysis, the light absorber and the catalyst are the same molecule. In these reactions, transition-metal coordination compounds often serve as catalysts because they can absorb a significant portion of the solar spectrum and can promote activation of small molecules. This Account discusses four classes of transition-metal catalysts: (A) metal tetraaza-macrocyclic compounds; (B) supramolecular complexes; (C) metalloporphyrins and related metallomacrocycles; (D) Re(CO)₃(bpy)X-based compounds where bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine. Carbon monoxide and formate are the primary CO₂ reduction products, and we also propose bicarbonate/carbonate production. For comprehensiveness, we briefly discuss hydrogen formation, a common side reaction that occurs concurrently with CO₂ reduction, though the details of that process are beyond the scope of this Account. It is our hope that drawing attention both to current mechanistic hypotheses and to the areas that are poorly understood will stimulate research that could one day provide an efficient solution to this global problem.
I. Introduction

The reduction of CO$_2$ by one electron to form CO$_2^-$, is highly unfavorable, having a formal reduction potential of $-2.14$ V vs SCE.$^{1,2}$ Rapid reduction requires an overpotential of $0.1-0.6$ V, due at least in part to the kinetic restrictions imposed by the structural difference between linear CO$_2$ and bent CO$_2^-$.$^3$ An alternative and more favorable pathway is to reduce CO$_2$ through proton-assisted multiple-electron transfer (MET) (Table 1).$^1$ The development of catalysts, redox mediators, or both that efficiently drive the reactions in Table 1 remains an important and active area of research.

Transition-metal compounds are at the forefront of potential catalyst research. Such catalysts can have multiple and accessible redox states that have been shown to promote MET reactivity. Furthermore, the formal reduction potentials can be systematically tuned through ligand modification to better match the potential required for CO$_2$ reduction. Many transition-metal compounds have been studied for potential CO$_2$-reduction applications; however, the focus here is on those that have garnered the most interest and, in some cases, are the most promising for development.

II. Common Terms

There are three terms that are commonly used to quantify the efficiency of catalytic CO$_2$ reduction processes, eqs 1–3. Catalytic selectivity (CS) is defined as the molar ratio of the CO$_2$ reduction products to that of hydrogen, eq 1.

$$\text{CS} = \frac{[\text{CO}_2 \text{ reduction products}]}{[\text{H}_2]} \quad (1)$$

Any catalyst that reduces CO$_2$ to carbon monoxide or formate is also thermodynamically capable of proton reduction to hydrogen. The CS value provides quantitative data on the efficiency of CO$_2$ reduction relative to hydrogen formation at specific experimental conditions (i.e., pH, solvent). Synthetic modification of the transition-metal catalysts provides the opportunity to tune relative reactivity through inductive and steric effects. The ability to control reactivity at the molecular level represents a significant advantage of Type I and II approaches over heterogeneous materials.

The photochemical quantum yield, $\phi$, is a measure of the molar fraction of incident photons that result in CO$_2$ reduction products, eq 2.

$$\phi = \frac{[\text{CO}_2 \text{ reduction products}]}{[\text{incident photons}]} \quad (2)$$

In the absence of current doubling reactivity that can occur when sacrificial donors are oxidized, the maximum $\phi$ for a single-electron reduction is 1, while for $n$ electron reductions, it is $1/n$.

Lastly, the turnover number (TN) is the number of reductions that occur per catalyst over the catalyst’s lifetime. This is often calculated as the molar ratio of CO$_2$ reduction products to the catalyst initially present, eq 3.

$$\text{Turnover Number (TN)} = \frac{[\text{CO}_2 \text{ reduction products}]}{[\text{catalyst}]} \quad (3)$$

Ideally a catalyst would have infinite TN, and in practical industrial applications, TNs of 1 million are common. For the molecules discussed herein, TNs range from 1 to 500.$^{1,4}$ It is important to note that TNs reported for photochemical reductions are not always measured by eq 3. A common alternative practice is to illuminate for a designated time interval rather than continuous illumination until catalysis ceases. As such, one needs to carefully examine the definition of TN used by different authors.

III. Type I

Type I catalysis can be considered as a photosensitized CO$_2$ reduction process. Upon light excitation, a molecular light absorber, $P$, typically ruthenium(II) trisbipyridine, [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$, is promoted to an excited state, $P^*$ (eq 4). This excited state is then reductively quenched by a sacrificial amine donor, $D$, typically triethylamine (TEA) or triethanolamine (TEOA), to yield a reduced sensitizer, $P^-$, and an oxidized amine donor, $D^+$, (i.e., Et$_3$N$^+$ or [(HOC$_2$H$_4$)$_3$N]$^+$) as shown in eq 5. It is important to note that the oxidized amines are reactive and are known to undergo hydrogen atom abstraction and radical rearrangements that result in the

\[ \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{HCO}_2\text{H} \quad -0.85 \]
\[ \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad -0.77 \]
\[ \text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{C} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad -0.44 \]
\[ \text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{HCHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad -0.72 \]
\[ \text{CO}_2 + 6\text{H}^+ + 6\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_4\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad -0.62 \]
\[ \text{CO}_2 + 8\text{H}^+ + 8\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad -0.48 \]

$^\ddagger$E$^\circ$ potentials are reported at pH 7.
production of a highly reduced carbon-centered radical species, 
\( \text{Et}_2\text{NC}^\cdot \text{HCH}_3 \) or \((\text{HOC}_2\text{H}_4)_2\text{N}(\text{C}^\cdot \text{HCH}_2\text{OH})\), that can under certain conditions reduce a second photosensitizer (eqs 8 and 9).\(^5\) We denote this carbon-centered radical as \( \text{D}^\cdot \). The reduced sensitizer, \( \text{P}^- \), is responsible for electron transfer to a molecular catalyst, which generates the reduced active state of the catalyst that then reduces \( \text{CO}_2 \) (eqs 6 and 7).\(^6\)

\[
P + h\nu \rightarrow \text{P}^*
\]

\[
\text{P}^* + \text{Et}_3\text{N} \rightarrow \text{P}^- + \text{Et}_3\text{N}^+\ wrongdoing
\]

\[
\text{P}^- + \text{cat} \rightarrow \text{P} + \text{cat}^-
\]

\[
\text{cat}^- + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{cat} + \text{products}
\]

\[
\text{Et}_3\text{N}^+ + \text{Et}_3\text{N} \rightarrow \text{Et}_3\text{NH}^+ + \text{Et}_2\text{NC}^\cdot \text{HCH}_3
\]

\[
\text{Et}_2\text{NC}^\cdot \text{HCH}_3 + \text{P} \text{ (or cat)} \rightarrow \text{Et}_2\text{N}^+ \text{CHCH}_3 + \text{P}^- \text{ (or cat}^-\!)
\]

**Cobalt and Nickel Tetraaza-macrocyclic Compounds.** Tinnemans et al. were the first to investigate the \( \text{Ru(bpy)}_3^{2+} \)-sensitized \( \text{CO}_2 \) reduction by transition-metal tetraaza-macrocyclic compounds, \([\text{M} \text{II} \text{L}]\).\(^6\) The \( \text{CO}_2 \) reduction products were identified as carbon monoxide and formate. Scheme 1 displays the proposed formation pathways of each product using \( \text{M}^{\text{II}}(\text{cyclam}) \) as a representative macrocycle. Since Tinnemans’s pioneering research, other photosensitizers have been employed including phenazine and \( \text{p}^- \text{-terphenyl}.\(^6\)-\(^8\) Both cobalt and nickel tetraaza-macrocyclic compounds have been studied and will be discussed below.

Tinnemans originally proposed insertion of \( \text{CO}_2 \) into a metal–hydride bond to yield a metal–formato complex, \([\text{LM}^{\text{III}} \text{OC} (\text{H}) \text{O}]^{2+}\), Scheme 1, red pathway.\(^6\) The resultant \( \text{M}^{\text{III}} \text{L} \)
TABLE 2. Reduction Potentials and Association Constants in CH₃CN for Cobalt(II) Macrocycles M(L₁–L₈) as Pictured (in CH₃CN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>macrocycle</th>
<th>Eₒ₂[a]</th>
<th>Kᵦ₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>−0.89</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>−1.28</td>
<td>4⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>−1.34</td>
<td>26⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>−1.34</td>
<td>1.2 × 10⁴b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>−1.41</td>
<td>9 × 10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>−1.51</td>
<td>7 × 10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8</td>
<td>−1.65</td>
<td>3 × 10⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Potentials are vs SCE. [b] Spectroscopic determination of Kᵦ₂.

The insertion of CO₂ into a metal–hydride bond cannot easily account for the formation of carbon monoxide, which is proposed to proceed through a metal–CO₂ intermediate (brown pathway, Scheme 1). For example, CO₂ was found to coordinate to [CoL₁⁺] (L₁ = 5,7,7,12,14,14-hexamethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradeca-4,11-diene) forming a stable purple adduct that has been spectroscopically characterized in various solvents. In a systematic study utilizing a series of cobalt tetraaza-macrocyclic compounds (Table 2), the association constants for the CO₂ adduct, Kᵦ₂ in Scheme 1, were found to increase with decreasing CoII/I reduction potential. The equilibrium binding constant of CO₂ to [CoL₁⁺] is much larger in water than it is in CH₃CN (4.5 × 10⁴ and 1.2 × 10⁴ M⁻¹, respectively) possibly due to the stabilization of the bound CO₂ via the hydrogen-bonding network of water molecules. Such a trend in CO₂ binding constants for NiII(cyclam)⁺ has been observed: 16 and 4 M⁻¹ in water and CH₃CN, respectively.¹²,¹³

The [L₁Co–CO₂]⁺ adduct exhibited thermochromic behavior and turned brownish-yellow in color when cooled. This was attributed to the temperature-dependent solvent coordination to yield [S–L₁Co–CO₂]⁺, where S is solvent.¹⁴,¹⁵ While in neat acetonitrile approximately 2% of [L₁Co–CO₂]⁺ is six-coordinate and 98% is five-coordinate, in water the CO₂ adduct is entirely six-coordinate at room temperature. IR data supports the existence of such CO₂ adducts, and both five- and six-coordinate compounds were found to be stabilized by hydrogen bonds between the amine hydrogens of the tetraaza-macrocycle and the bound CO₂.¹⁴ ¹H NMR studies of the CO₂ adducts showed the existence of only diamagnetic molecules. X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy (XANES) provided evidence for the existence of [S–L₁CoIII–(CO₂⁻)]⁺ and the first direct evidence that [CoL₁⁺] promotes two-electron transfer to CO₂.¹⁶ On the other hand, the [(cyclam)Ni–CO₂]⁺ adduct exhibited no spectral features typical of NiIII, suggesting that the two-electron chemistry observed with [CoL₁⁺] does not occur with [Ni(cyclam)]⁺.¹² Additionally, six-coordinate [S–(cyclam)NiII–CO₂]⁺ compounds have not been observed or isolated.

Transient flash photolysis experiments with [CoIII(L₁)²⁺] provided the first conclusive evidence that P⁺, [CoL₁⁺], and [L₁CoIV–CO₂]⁺ were plausible intermediates in carbon monoxide production.¹⁵ After laser excitation of P in the presence of [CoIII(L₁)²⁺] and TEA, spectral features consistent with electron transfer from P⁺ to [CoIII(L₁)²⁺] to form a [CoL₁⁺] species were observed with a rate constant of 1.1 × 10¹⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (k₂ in Scheme 1). When CO₂ was introduced, [CoL₁⁺] was observed, but its lifetime decreased due to reaction with CO₂. A bimolecular rate constant of 1.7 × 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ was extracted from the CO₂ concentration-dependence of the pseudo-first-order rate constant for [L₁Co–CO₂]⁺ formation (k₃ in Scheme 1). The product of the reaction of [CoL₁⁺] and CO₂ displayed spectral features consistent with five- and six-coordinate CO₂ adducts.

The [L₁Co–CO₂]⁺ adduct gradually reacted consistent with a rate law second-order in [CoL₁–CO₂]⁺ with an apparent rate constant of (1.0 ± 0.1) × 10⁻³ M⁻¹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ in CO₂-saturated CH₃CN, conditions where almost no free [CoL₁⁺] exists (Kₐ₂ = 1.2 × 10⁴ M⁻¹). [Ni(cyclam)(CO₂)]⁺ also reacted with second-order kinetics, k = 1.08 × 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ in CO₂-saturated water at pH 5.2.¹⁷ However, since these solutions contained NiII(cyclam)⁺ as an equilibrium mixture (Kₐ₂ = 16 M⁻¹), a fast reaction of [Ni(cyclam)(CO₂)]⁺ with NiII(cyclam)⁺ as an electron donor was possible.
A second-order rate law suggests one of three mechanistic possibilities: (1) outer-sphere electron transfer that involves two M–CO₂ complexes; (2) outer-sphere electron transfer that involves one M–CO₂ complex and a metal complex, such as a M(Ⅲ) species, as an electron donor; (3) an inner-sphere electron transfer mechanism through a binuclear intermediate. In support of a binuclear intermediate, [L₁Co₂(C(OH)O)₂CoL₁]³⁺ was isolated from the reaction mixture and crystallographically characterized in the solid state, Figure 1.⁹ Because one cannot clearly distinguish among the three possible reaction pathways for [CoL₁]²⁺, the binuclear complex was placed in a separate box in the Scheme 1 to highlight this inconsistency.

In nonaqueous solvents, the CS for CoL was on average 20.⁷ However, the pKₐ of the [L₁Co–H]²⁺ was approximately 11, and therefore, [CoL₁]¹⁺ showed poor selectivity (CS = 0.5–10) toward CO₂ reduction, especially at low pH. When phenaazine was employed as a photosensitizer for [Co(cyclam)(Cl)]₂⁺ in a TEA/CH₃OH/CH₃CN mixture (v/v/v = 1/1/2), the [(cyclam)Co³⁺–H]²⁺ formed reduced CO₂ exclusively to form HCO₂⁻ with enhanced selectivity, CS = 140, and a quantum yield 0.07.⁸ When 6-terphenyl was used as a photosensitizer, the total quantum yield for CO and HCO₂⁻ reported is 0.13.⁷ [CoL₁]²⁺ also exhibited the highest TN of the compounds discussed in this Account, ~500 for 18 h of irradiation.⁶ The [Ni(cyclam)]⁺ compound was shown to electrochemically reduce CO₂ to CO with 100% current efficiency and selectivity ratios over 100 indicating that it was an attractive option for photocatalysis.¹⁹ However, this selectivity has not been observed for Ni(cyclam)²⁺ under illumination conditions, where the quantum yield for CO formation is only 6.0 × 10⁻⁴.²⁰

**Supramolecular Complexes.** Covalent attachment of a sensitizer to a coordination compound capable of CO₂ catalysis, so-called supramolecular complexes, has been employed to increase the quantum efficiency of excited-state electron transfer from a photoactive donor to a catalytic acceptor moiety. Two classes of compounds have been investigated for CO₂ reduction based on ruthenium polypyridyl sensitizers: (1) linkage to a nickel cyclam catalyst (Kimura)²¹–²³ and (2) linkage to Re(bpy)(CO)₆X catalysts (Ishitani).²⁴,²⁵ Both classes of supramolecular catalysts have increased stability and higher turnover numbers than the corresponding bimolecular approach.

Kimura et al. synthesized the supramolecular complex [Ru(Ⅱ)(bpy)₂(bpy-6′-cyclam)Ni(Ⅱ)]⁴⁺, Figure 2. The 6′ substitution on the bipyridine ligand induced severe steric hindrance about the Ru⁶ metal center. This structural change lowered the ligand field excited states and thus shortened the MLCT excited state lifetime significantly. Temperature-dependent emission studies indicated that the MLCT excited state was quenched via energy transfer and not the preferred electron transfer to the Ni⁶⁺ catalyst.²⁶ In efforts to prevent the distortion of the Ru–N core, Kimura et al. substituted 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) in the 5′ position with the same Ni cyclam, [Ru(phen)₂(phen-5′-cyclamNi)]⁴⁺, Figure 2.²² Although the overall efficiency was still comparable to a nontethered refer-
ence system, the photochemical stability increased, resulting in higher TNs.22

Ishitani, et al. synthesized supramolecular molecules based on $[\text{Ru( bpy)}]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Re}(4,4'-\text{R}2-2,2'-\text{bpy})(\text{CO})_3(\text{X})]^n_+\), where $\text{R} = \text{H, CH}_3, \text{and CF}_3; \text{X} = \text{Cl}^-( n = 0)$ or $\text{X} = \text{P(OEt)}_3$ and pyridine $( n = 1)$ (Figure 3).24,25 The molecules had one, two, or three pendent Re moieties covalently bonded to a Ru II sensitizer, RuRe, RuRe 2, and RuRe 3, respectively. The use of a central Ru–polypyridyl sensitizer increased the light-harvesting efficiency over Re(4,4'-R2-2,2'-bpy)(CO)3(X) alone, thereby addressing a significant drawback to near-UV-absorbing Re-based catalysts. The RuRe(P(OEt))3 compound exhibited the highest quantum efficiency, $\phi = 0.21$, and a TN of 232.25

**IV. Type II**

Type II catalysis occurs when one single compound acts as both the light absorber and the catalyst. The photocatalyst, Pcat, is reduced by excited-state reductive quenching as described for Type I catalysis (eqs 10 and 11). Similar to the reactivity discussed with Type I complexes, oxidized amine donors undergo subsequent reactivity to produce, $D^+$, which can reduce a second Type II compound (eqs 13 and 14). The reduced compound, Pcat–, is an active state of the catalyst and directly interacts with CO2 (eq 12).

\[
\text{Pcat} + h \nu \rightarrow \text{Pcat}^* \quad (10)
\]

\[
\text{Pcat}^* + \text{Et}_3\text{N} \rightarrow \text{Pcat}^- + \text{Et}_3\text{N}^{++} \quad (11)
\]

\[
\text{Pcat}^- + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Pcat} + \text{products} \quad (12)
\]

\[
\text{Et}_3\text{N}^{++} + \text{Et}_3\text{N} \rightarrow \text{Et}_3\text{NH}^+ + \text{Et}_2\text{NCCH}_3 \quad (13)
\]

\[
\text{Et}_2\text{NCCH}_3 + \text{Pcat} \rightarrow \text{Et}_2\text{N}^{++} = \text{CHCH}_3 + \text{Pcat}^- \quad (14)
\]

The compounds that have been investigated for Type II catalysis are the MLCT excited states of Re(bpy)(CO)3X and the $\pi-\pi^*$ excited states of metallo-macrocycles. The latter excited states are efficiently quenched by the d-orbitals of the transition metal such that efficient reductive quenching requires the formation of a ground-state inner-sphere complex with the sacrificial amine donor, Pcat–D (eq 15). Upon light excitation, the Pcat–D bond is heterolytically cleaved resulting in a reduced compound, Pcat–, and an oxidized amine donor, D$^+$ (eq 16). It should be noted that all Type II complexes could
also be used as catalysts for Type I approaches with an appropriate photosensitizer.

\[
P_{\text{cat}} + D \leftrightarrow P_{\text{cat}}-D \quad \text{(15)}
\]

\[
P_{\text{cat}}-D + h\nu \rightarrow P_{\text{cat}}^- + D^{+} \quad \text{(16)}
\]

**Metalloporphyrins and Related Metallomacrocycles.**

The metalloporphyrins and related metallo-macrocycles studied for CO₂ reduction reactivity include metalloporphyrins (MP), metallocorrins (MN), metallophthalocyanines (MPc), and metallocorroles (MC), Figure 4, where M = Fe or Co.²⁷⁻³¹

Scheme 2 illustrates a Type II reaction mechanism with a metalloporphyrin, MP, as a representative macrocycle. The active catalytic states as identified by cyclic voltametry have the metal in the formal oxidation state of zero for porphyrins \([M^{0P}]^{2-}\) and corrins \([M^{0N}]^{2-}\), +1 for corroles \([M^{0C}]^{2-}\), and +1 with a reduced phthalocyanine ring \([M^{0Pc}]^{-}\). Some MPs previously investigated bear functional groups with negative or positive charges at the meso substituents. Because the effects of these charges on the redox reaction is secondary, these peripheral charges are ignored in the following discussions.

Prolonged photolysis of metallo-macrocycles in the presence of TEA resulted in the formation of \([M^{0P}]^{-}\), \([M^{0N}]^{-}\), \([M^{0Pc}]^{-}\), and \([M^{0C}]^{-}\) through stepwise reductive quenching with quan-

---

**FIGURE 4.** Metal porphyrin derivatives investigated for CO₂ reduction: (left to right) metalloporphyrin (MP), metallocorrin (MN), metallophthalocyanine (MPc), and metallocorrole (MC, where R = C₆F₅ or 2,6-C₆H₃Cl₂).

**SCHEME 2.** Proposed Mechanistic Steps in the Reduction of CO₂ by Metal Porphyrin Derivatives (M = Fe or Co) via a Type II Mechanism

---

*Hydrogen production (blue), formate production (red), CO formation (brown and pink), and putative intermediates (green); as a representative compound, metalloporphyrin is illustrated.*
tum yields for the sequential steps, $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ (Scheme 2). More specifically, a TEA donor binds axially to $[\text{MIII}]^+$, eq 17, 27, 28 Light excitation of this adduct is proposed to result in intramolecular reductive quenching to yield $[\text{MIP}]^-$, eq 16. While TEA binds to $[\text{MII}]^+$, the UV–vis spectrum of the resultant $[\text{MIP}]^-$ was not dependent upon the TEA concentration, suggesting that TEA does not bind to $[\text{MIP}]^-$. However, given the extremely short lifetime of metalloporphyrins, like hemes, a weak TEA adduct must form prior to absorption of the second photon to account for the observed formation of $[\text{MIP}]^-$, not shown schematically. 32 The quantum yield for the second quenching step is typically an order of magnitude smaller that that of the first quenching step due to the weaker ground state adduct formed. 32

\[
[\text{Cl}]\text{MIIIP} + \text{Et}_3\text{N} \rightleftharpoons \text{Et}_3\text{N}[\text{Cl}]\text{MIIIP}
\] (17)

\[
\text{Et}_3\text{N}[\text{Cl}]\text{MIIIIP} + h\nu \rightarrow \{\text{Et}_3\text{N}[\text{Cl}]\text{MIIIIP}\}^* \rightarrow \text{Et}_3\text{N}^+ + \text{Cl}^- + \text{MIIIP}
\] (18)

Note that for each of these catalysts, further reduction is needed to generate the catalytically active state.

Formation of the catalytically active state, $[\text{MIP}]^-$, by direct light excitation has not been observed. Alternatively, it is proposed that this state is formed via disproportionation, eq 19, 27

\[
2[\text{MIP}]^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{MIP}]^2^- + [\text{MIP}]
\] (19)

Kinetic evidence for a disproportionation reaction of $[\text{FeP}]^-$, where $P$ = tetraakis($N$-methyl-2-pyridyl)porphyrin, was found. 27 While the kinetic data was complicated by the presence of different porphyrin isomers, the rate law was clearly second-order in $[\text{FeP}]^-$, consistent with eq 19.

Disproportionation is highly unfavorable for all the metallomacrocycles discussed, and therefore the concentration of the active state, $[\text{MIP}]^2^-$, must be small. The observed reactivity has been rationalized by a favorable interaction between the active state and $\text{H}^+$ or $\text{CO}_2$ ($K_2$ and $K_4$ in Scheme 2). Recall that increased electron density on the cobalt(i) in tetraaza-macrocycles favored $\text{CO}_2$ binding; therefore it is likely that the active $[\text{MIP}]^2^-$ favors adduct formation relative to more oxidized forms. 10 Protonation of the putative $[\text{MIP}^-\text{CO}_2]^{2^-}$ to form a metal carboxylic acid compound that subsequently decomposes to $\text{MIP}^-$, $\text{CO}$, and $\text{OH}^-$ has been proposed. Alternatively, under high $\text{CO}_2$ concentrations, $[\text{MIP}^-\text{CO}_2]^{2^-}$ may react with $\text{CO}_2$ to yield $\text{MIP}^-$, $\text{CO}$, and $\text{CO}_2$ (cyan in Scheme 2). Experimental proof of $[\text{MIP}^-\text{CO}_2]^{2^-}$ species is lacking due to the reactive nature of these highly reduced compounds; indeed, $[\text{MIP}^-\text{CO}_2]^{2^-}$ has neither been observed nor isolated. However, electrochemical reduction of $\text{CO}_2$ reported by Hammouche et al. 33 indicate that $[\text{FeP}]^{2^-}$ is the catalytically active species, consistent with photochemical data. Therefore the reaction mechanisms proposed for formate and carbon monoxide production are based on presumed similarities to other catalysts discussed (i.e., metal tetraaza-macrocycles).

Metalloporphyrins and related metallo-macrocycles are strong light absorbers with extremely large extinction coefficients in the visible spectral region. However, yields of active catalyst formed after light absorption are very low, behavior reasonably attributed to the short picosecond lifetimes of the excited states that are formed. In addition, metalloporphyrins and related metallo-macrocycles undergo unwanted hydrogenation of the macrocycle ring, and these degradation products seem to catalyze the reduction of protons over $\text{CO}_2$. The TNs reported range from 40 to 300, and the best reported are as follows: metalloporphyrins, 300 (8 h); metallocorrins, 100 (30 h); metallophthalocyanines, 50 (6 h); metallocorroles, 300 (8 h). 29–31

Re(CO)$_3$(bpy)X-Based Complexes. Hawecker et al. were the first to investigate Re(L)(CO)$_3$X, where $X = \text{Cl}^-$ or Br$^-$ and $L = 4,4'$-$R$_2$-$2,2'$-bipyridine ($R = \text{H}$ or $\text{CH}_3$) or 1,10-phenanthroline, for photochemical $\text{CO}_2$ reduction. 34 Upon light excitation, Re(L)(CO)$_3$X is promoted to an MLCT excited state with a Re$^+$ metal center and an electron located on the L ligand. In the presence of sacrificial amine donors, the excited state is reductively quenched to yield $[\text{ReL}^-\text{(CO)}_3\text{X}^-]$, (where L$^-$ is an anion radical), $k_1$ and $\phi_1$ in Scheme 3. Solvent (S) is proposed to replace the halide ligand to yield the putative catalytically active state, $[\text{ReL}^-(\text{CO})_3\text{S}]$. 35 Halide ligand exchange, $\text{Cl}^-$ for Br$^-$, was observed for $[\text{ReL}^-(\text{CO})_3\text{X}]^-$. 34

Reactions of $[\text{ReL}^-(\text{CO})_3\text{S}]$ with a proton are proposed to result in the formation of a rhenium–hydride bond. Formate production is thought to occur by $\text{CO}_2$ insertion into the Re–hydride bond (red in Scheme 3), behavior consistent with other catalysts discussed. Sullivan prepared $[\text{Re(bpy)}(\text{CO})_3\text{H}]$ and reacted it with $\text{CO}_2$. 36 The rate constant of this dark reaction indicated a remarkable solvent dependency that increased with the dielectric constant of the medium. 36, 37 $[\text{Re(bpy)}(\text{CO})_3\text{(OC(H)O)}]$ was formed in 81% yield in $\text{CO}_2$-saturated THF. Addition of excess halide to the solvent prevented $[\text{Re(bpy)}(\text{CO})_3\text{(OC(H)O)}]$ formation and increased the yield of CO production. 34

While formate production is reasonably understood, the mechanism of CO formation is still an area of active investigation and debate. Upon protonation of a putative Re–$\text{CO}_2$ adduct, a metal carboxylate intermediate was observed that undergoes acid-promoted hydrolysis to yield $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CO}$. Gibson et al. characterized $\text{ReL}(\text{CO})_3(\text{COOH})$ and proposed that proton-promoted dehydroxylation of $\text{ReL}(\text{CO})_3(\text{COOH})$...
could yield Re(L)(CO)₄⁺, which would release CO under irradiation (or when it reacts with X⁻) and regenerate the initial compound.³⁸,³⁹ As all CO in Re(L)(CO)₄⁺ may exchange with X⁻, this pathway explains prior results that demonstrated that the use of ¹³CO₂ resulted in the formation of the fully labeled fac-ReI(L)(¹³CO)₃X.³⁴

The source of the second electron necessary to form the carboxylic acid intermediate is unknown. Ishitani's recently conducted systematic study of ReI(bpy)(CO)₃X with X = SCN⁻, Cl⁻, or CN⁻ provided insight into this unknown.⁴ A mixed solution of Re(bpy)(CO)₃CN and Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl displayed photocatalytic activity 1.2 times greater than that of Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl alone. It was proposed that [ReI(bpy')(CO)₃CN]⁻ provides the second electron and reduces the CO₂ adduct [ReI(bpy')(CO)₃(CO₂)]. Based on the presumed additive effect between certain Re complexes, they studied a mixed solution of 1:25 [Re(bpy)(CO)₃(CH₃CN)]⁺ and [Re(4,4′-MeO₂bpy)(CO)₃(P(ΟEt)₃)]⁺. The acetonitrile compound, [Re(bpy)(CO)₃(CH₃CN)]⁺, is expected to have a high efficiency for solvent ligand loss, and [Re(4,4′-MeO₂bpy)(CO)₃[P(ΟEt)₃]]⁺ has both a high efficiency for Re(4,4′-MeO₂bpy)(CO)₃[P(ΟEt)₃] formation (φ = 1.6) and high reducing power (E₁/₂ = −1.67 V vs Ag/AgNO₃). To date, this combination of Re compounds displays the highest efficiency of all molecular CO₂ photocatalysts (φ = 0.59) and provides compelling evidence that the second reducing equivalent comes from another Re compound.⁴

Ishitani's data suggests the possibility of a binuclear intermediate. A Re dimer is an attractive intermediate since a similar product has been isolated from the reaction of CO₂ with a cobalt(I) tetraaza-macrocyle. In support of a bimetallic intermediate, a CO₂-bridged Re dimer, [Re(Me₂-bpy)(CO)₃]₂(CO₂), has been isolated (Figure 5).³⁸ Clear evidence for the involvement of the CO₂-bridged dimer as an intermediate in CO formation in photochemical CO₂ reduction was obtained. Photoinduced homolysis of [Re(Me₂-bpy)(CO)₃]₂ in dry CO₂-

FIGURE 5. Calculated structure of a rhenium dimer, (bpy)(CO)₃Re—CO₂—Re(bpy)(CO)₃(bpy), that is a proposed intermediate in the reduction of CO₂ by Re(CO)₃(bpy)X, where X = Cl⁻, CN⁻, SCN⁻, Br⁻, S, or PR₃ [J. T. Muckerman, unpublished].
saturated CH\textsubscript{3}CN resulted in the formation of CO providing evidence that this dimer occurs along the reaction pathway.\textsuperscript{35} While the CO\textsubscript{2}-bridged dimer is stable in the absence of CO\textsubscript{2}, it reacts slowly with CO\textsubscript{2} and liberates CO with a 30–50% yield based on Re(Me\textsubscript{2}-bpy)(CO)\textsubscript{3}S. The rate constants are 9.7 × 10\textsuperscript{-4} M\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1} in the dark and 5.1 × 10\textsuperscript{-2} M\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1} under illumination.\textsuperscript{35} This stoichiometric reaction resulted in the formation of [Re(Me\textsubscript{2}-bpy)(CO)\textsubscript{3}](OCO\textsubscript{2}) and Re(Me\textsubscript{2}-bpy)(CO)\textsubscript{3}(OC(O)OH), which can in principle be recycled before the precipitation as photocatalysts with adequate quencher and added X (e.g., X = Cl\textsuperscript{-}) (cyan, Scheme 3).

Because rigorously dry solvents are rarely employed and the usage of amine donors, TEA and TEOA, can eventually introduce proton-rich conditions, it is very possible that both proposed reaction pathways of [Re(L\textsuperscript{+})(CO)\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{2} are operative during prolonged photolysis. Under the initial photolysis conditions of low proton concentration inner-sphere two-electron transfer involving a binuclear intermediate may be favored. Over time as the proton concentration increases with TEA decomposition, outer-sphere second electron transfer to Re(L)(CO)\textsubscript{3}(COOH) may become the predominate pathway.

Re(L)(CO)\textsubscript{3}X complexes exhibit the highest selectivity and quantum efficiencies of the catalysts discussed. Little-to-no hydrogen gas is detected resulting in a CS that approaches infinity. [Re(bpy)(CO)\textsubscript{3}(P(OEt)\textsubscript{3})\textsuperscript{+}] exhibited the highest single-molecule quantum efficiency of 0.38 and, as previously mentioned, Ishitani’s two-molecule approach exhibited a quantum yield of 0.59.\textsuperscript{4,40} Turnover numbers were generally low due to complex degradation, and the best reported value was 48.\textsuperscript{34} Hori et al.\textsuperscript{41} investigated the effects of pressure in liquid CO\textsubscript{2} on the TN using CO\textsubscript{2}-soluble cationic rhenium complexes incorporating a fluorinated counterion and found slight increases in the TN. While a fluorinated counterion impacts the CO\textsubscript{2} solubility of the corresponding rhenium cationic species, it does not help to dissolve the neutral species, which is involved as an intermediate in CO\textsubscript{2} reduction.\textsuperscript{42} Recently, neutral CO\textsubscript{2}-soluble rhenium bipyridine complexes bearing fluorinated alkyl substituents were prepared, and their photophysical and photochemical properties were investigated. The photocatalysis investigation with these scCO\textsubscript{2} soluble complexes is an area of current research. In addition to exhibiting low TNs, Re(L)(CO)\textsubscript{3}X only absorbs light at wavelengths shorter than 400 nm in the UV–vis spectral region. These shortcomings are addressed by the supramolecular complexes previously discussed.\textsuperscript{24,42}

V. Summary and Future Outlook

In this Account, we focused on mechanistic and kinetic discussions of photochemical CO\textsubscript{2} reduction to CO and formate using several types of molecular assemblies including metal macrocycles with or without a photosensitizer, Re(bpy)(CO)\textsubscript{3}X and related species, and supramolecular complexes. We gave particular attention to mechanistic steps including the formation of the reduced metal species, the interaction with CO\textsubscript{2}, the reaction kinetics of the CO\textsubscript{2} adduct, and the source of the second electron to produce CO or formate. Moreover, we discussed intermediates that are unknown or controversial.

Clearly, researchers in this field have achieved the efficient coupling of light absorption and charge separation with dark catalytic reactions to produce CO and formate. The quantum yield for CO formation has reached 59% using Re(bpy)(CO)\textsubscript{3}X-type catalysts. Carbon dioxide, a stable end-product of combustion, was activated by proton-assisted, multielectron transfer reactions using metal catalysts, and in some cases, a CO\textsubscript{2}- or C(OH)O-bridged dinuclear species was observed or isolated during the catalytic reactions. Metal catalysts such as monomeric cobalt(III) macrocycles can donate two electrons to the bound CO\textsubscript{2}, thereby facilitating a low-energy pathway to produce two-electron-reduced CO from CO\textsubscript{2} via the Co(III) carboxylate, L–Co(III)(CO\textsubscript{2}–). However, a typical turnover frequency and a turnover number for CO formation are less than 10 h\textsuperscript{-1} and 200, respectively, owing to the nature of the extremely stable CO\textsubscript{2} molecule and low stability of catalysts and photosensitizers. There exist many formidable challenges for CO\textsubscript{2} utilization. Can we produce a liquid fuel, for example, methanol, from CO\textsubscript{2} by molecular photochemical methods? Can we couple reductive (i.e., CO\textsubscript{2} reduction) and oxidative (i.e., water oxidation) half-reactions to remove the need for a sacrificial electron donor? Can we develop robust inexpensive catalysts or photosensitizers? Innovative explorations that strive to improve catalysts or photosensitizers to produce renewable fuels (CO and methanol) via low-energy pathways using earth-abundant materials are essential for creating carbon-neutral energy sources and for avoiding catastrophic global warming.

The Johns Hopkins University portion of this work was funded by the Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the U.S. Department of Energy through Grant DE-FC02-96ER14662. We thank Maria Abrahamsson for her help in taking the conspectus photograph, J. T. Muckerman for supplying the calculated structure of the Re
dimer intermediate, and M. D. Doherty for a critical reading of the manuscript. The work at Brookhaven National Laboratory is funded under contract DE-AC02-98CH10886 with the U.S. Department of Energy and supported by its Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. E.F. also thanks the U.S. DOE for funding under the BES Solar Energy Utilization Initiative.

**BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION**

Amanda J. Morris, born in 1983 in Abington, Pennsylvania, received her B.S. in Chemistry from Pennsylvania State University. Currently enrolled at Johns Hopkins University, she will receive her Ph.D. in 2009. Her present research involves photodriven multiple-electron processes at molecular catalysts anchored to mesoporous nanocrystalline titanium dioxide thin films.

Gerald J. Meyer, born in 1962 in Oconomowoc, WI, received his B.S. in chemistry and mathematics from SUNY-Albany and a Ph.D. from the University of Wisconsin at Madison. He is currently a Professor of Chemistry and Materials Science and Engineering at Johns Hopkins University with interest in photocatalysis and photoelectrochemistry of inorganic coordination compounds and materials.

Etsuko Fujita is a Senior Chemist at Brookhaven National Laboratory. She received a B.S. in Chemistry from Ochanomizu University, Tokyo, and a Ph.D. in Chemistry from the Georgia Institute of Technology. Her research interests span solar fuels generation including water splitting and CO2 utilization, mechanistic inorganic chemistry, and thermodynamics and kinetics of small molecule binding and activation.

**REFERENCES**


